1	DAYLE ELIESON				
2	United States Attorney ELIZABETH O. WHITE				
3	Appellate Chief and Assistant United States Attorney				
4	400 South Virginia Street, Suite 900 Reno, Nevada 89501				
5	(775) 784-5438 Attorneys for the United States				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:08-cr-00163-JCM-GWF			
9	Plaintiff,				
10	v.	STIPULATION REGARDING RESENTENCING			
11		RESERVENCING			
12	CHRISTOPHER SANGALANG,				
13	Defendant.				
14					
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between ELIZABETH O.				
16	WHITE, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and				
17	SUNETHRA MURALIDHARA, Esq., Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for				
18	Christopher Sangalang, to jointly recommend that the Court, having granted				
19	Sangalang's motion to vacate his conviction and sentence on Count 3 pursuant to 28				
20	U.S.C. § 2255, resentence Sangalang to concurrent terms of 119 months' imprisonment				
21	on Counts 1 and 2 (to also run concurrent with the sentence imposed in case 2:08-CR-				
22	0140-KJD-LRL) to be followed by five years of supervised release. The parties further				
23	stipulate that the Court may enter an amended judgment without Sangalang's presence				
24	in light of his express waiver of his right to be present. See Exh. 1 (declaration).				

This Stipulation is requested for the following reasons:

- 1. On April 2, 2010, the jury convicted Sangalang of conspiracy to interfere with commerce by robbery ("Hobbs Act conspiracy") in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951 (Count 1); conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a) (Count 2); and (3) possession of a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime and a crime of violence, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (Count 3). ECF No. 486. January 14, 2011, this Court sentenced Sangalang to concurrent terms of 119 months' imprisonment on Counts 1 and 2 (also concurrent with the sentence imposed in case 2:08-CR-0140-KJD-LRL); and a consecutive term of 60 months' imprisonment on Count 3; to be followed by five years of supervised release. ECF No. 576. This Court entered judgment on December 21, 2010. ECF No. 578. Sangalang appealed, ECF No. 582, and the court of appeals affirmed, ECF No. 663.
- 2. In December 2016, Sangalang filed a § 2255 motion, arguing that his § 924(c) conviction and sentence must be vacated in light of *Johnson* v. *United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). ECF No. 717. After full briefing, this Court granted Sangalang's motion. ECF No. 739. The Court orders the parties to file re-sentencing memoranda and scheduled a resentencing hearing for July 12, 2018. *Id*.
- 3. In light of the Court's ruling vacating Sangalang's conviction on Count 3, the parties conferred and stipulate to jointly recommend that, with respect to Counts 1 and 2, the Court re-impose concurrent 119-month sentences (also concurrent with the sentence imposed in case 2:08-CR-0140-KJD-LRL), to be followed by five years of supervised release under the same terms of supervision the Court imposed in the

original judgment. The parties anticipate that, in light of the time Sangalang has already served, the 119-month concurrent sentences will result in his imminent release.

- 4. The government reserves its objections to the Court's decision to grant Sangalang's § 2255 motion. Once the Court enters an amended judgment, undersigned government counsel will report the adverse decision to the Department of Justice so the U.S. Solicitor General can decide whether to appeal that decision.
- 5. As confirmed in the attached declaration, Sangalang affirmatively waives his right to be present for resentencing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 43(c)(1)(B), and expressly consents to the Court entering an amended judgment, reimposing concurrent sentences on Counts 1 and 2 of 119 months' imprisonment to be followed by five years of supervised release.
- 6. For these reasons, the parties stipulate and agree to jointly recommend that the Court re-impose concurrent sentences on Counts 1 and 2 of 119 months' imprisonment to be followed by five years of supervised release, and that it enter an amended judgment to that effect. In light of this stipulation, the parties respectfully suggest that the Court vacate the July 12, 2018, resentencing hearing as unnecessary.

DATED this 25th day of June, 2018.

RENE L. VALLADARES	DAYLE ELIESON
Federal Public Defender	United States Attorney

By: <u>s/Sunethra Muralidhara</u> By: <u>s/ Elizabeth O. White</u>
Sunethra Muralidhara Elizabeth O. White
Asst. Federal Public Defender
Counsel for Christopher Sangalang
Counsel for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:08-cr-00163-JCM-GWF

Plaintiff,

STIPULATION REGARDING RESENTENCING

v.

CHRISTOPHER SANGALANG,

Defendant.

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing:

IT IS ORDERED that Sangalang be resentenced on his convictions on Counts 1 and 2 in this case to 119 months' imprisonment (to be served concurrent with each other and concurrent to the sentence imposed in case 2:08-CR-0140-KJD-LRL), to be followed by five years of supervised release. The Court will enter an amended judgment to that effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the resentencing hearing scheduled for July 12, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. be, and the same hereby is, VACATED.

DATED June 26, 2018.

HONOBABLE JAMES C. MAHAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Cellus C. Mahan

Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	2:08-cr-00163-JCM-GWF-1
	ý	2.00 01 00100 0011 0771 1
CHRISTOPHER SANGALANG,))
Defendant.)	
)	

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF JOINT STIPULATION_

- 1. I, Christopher Sangalang, am the Defendant in the above-captioned case and the movant who sought relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. On June 4, 2018, this Court granted the 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion to vacate.
- 2. I have read and discussed with my attorney, Assistant Federal Public Defender Sunethra Muralidhara, the Joint Stipulation and proposed resolution to be filed in this case.
- 3. I agree with and consent to the Joint Stipulation. Namely, the parties' jointly recommend a sentence of 119 months followed by five (5) years' supervision.
- 4. It is my understanding that the government may appeal the Court's Order, ECF No. 739 upon entry of the new judgment of conviction. I reserve the right to defend my sentence on appeal and file any cross-appeals as determined by my counsel.
- 5. I hereby consent to a re-sentencing hearing without my presence.

DATED this 6 day of JUNE, 2018.